Under the patronage of H.E. Dr. Abdullah Belhaif Al Nuaimi - Minister of Infrastructure Development



► 17th Edition

International Operations & Maintenance Conference in the Arab Countries

19, 20, 21 NOV 2019

Le Meridien Dubai Hotel & Conference Centre United Arab Emirates

Under the Theme: Enhancing Maintenance Through Big Data Management Environmental Economic Dispatch of Thermal Power Plants in Saudi Arabia: A Case Study

INTRODUCTION

- The world demand for electricity is increasing in exponential manner with population
- There are more than 7.8 billion people now on the planet (Fig.1)

INTRODUCTION

World Population



INTRODUCTION



لۇلىق VISION 2

KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

Environment Issues

- CO₂ and NO_x effects
- Health Issues
- Environmental Issues

Vision 2030

- Decrease consumption of oil
- Renewable Energy

The problem is to minimize the total fuel cost

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i P_i^2 + b_i P_i + c_i$$

Where

C_i	Fuel cost of power plant i
a_i, b_i and c_i	Cost coefficients of power plant i
n	Number of controllable power plant.
P_i	Power output of power plant i

Equality constraints

$$P_T = P_D + P_{loss}$$

Where

 P_T Total generated power P_{loss} Transmission Loss P_D

Total Load Demand

Inequality constraints

 $P_{i,min} \leq P_i \leq P_{i,max}$

Transmission Loss can be found by

$$P_{loss} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_i B_{ij} P_j$$

Where

- *P*_i Power output of power plant i
- *P*_j Power output of power plant j

B_{ij} Losses Matrix

The input is the system load demand and the output is economic power generation and environmental emissions of various power plants

For CO₂ Constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} E1_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d1_{i}P_{i}^{2} + e1_{i}P_{i} + f1_{i}$$

Where

 $E1_i$ $d1_i$, $e1_i$ and $f1_i$ Total emission of CO_2 for power plant i CO_2 emission coefficients of power plant i

For NO_x constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} E2_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d2_{i}P_{i}^{2} + e2_{i}P_{i} + f2_{i}$$

Where

 $E2_i$ $d2_i$, $e2_i$ and $f2_i$ Total emission of NO_x for power plant i NO_x emission coefficients of power plant i

Total augmented cost can be obtained by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Ct_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_{1}C_{i} + w_{2}E1_{i} + w_{3}E2_{i})$$

Different emission conditions may occur by varying W_1 , W_2 and W_3 as

W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	Dispatch Type			
1	0	0	Economic Dispatch (ED)			
0	1	0	Environmental Dispatch with CO ₂ Emission Constraints (ED - CO ₂ emission)			
0	0	1	Environmental Dispatch with NO _x Emission Constraints (ED - NO _x emission)			
0.5	0.25	0.25	Combined Environmental Economic Dispatch (EED)			

ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMIC DISPATCH ALGORITHM

For The sequence of major computations of the algorithm is as follows:

i. Get the total load demand data

ii. Assume the load demand is distributed equally among all the thermal plants and there are no losses and set the Lagrange multiplier $\frac{dC}{dP} = \lambda$

iii. Calculate the Pi of each power plant by using the coordination equation

$$\frac{dC}{dP_i} + \lambda \frac{\partial P_{loss}}{\partial P_i} = \lambda$$

ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMIC DISPATCH ALGORITHM

iv. Check the inequality by $P_{i.min} \le P_i \le P_{i,max}$

v. Calculate the power loss by

vi. Check if
$$\left|\sum P_i - (P_D + P_{loss})\right| < \varepsilon$$

Where ε is the assigned tolerance. If Yes, proceed to print the results; If No, modify the value of λ and go back to step – iii.

System Data: Power plants and the Loss Coefficients

Power Plant no.	а	b	с	P min (MW)	P max (MW)
1	0.001540	8.2716	225.360	1384	5538
2	0.000950	9.2233	253.050	381	1527
3	0.012490	11.8000	153.330	103	412
4	0.000885	8.8167	197.050	171	684
5	0.011040	7.7918	201.280	420	1680
6	0.050400	7.9664	217.110	206	827
7	0.016280	7.8225	213.440	123	494

CO₂ Emissions Coefficients

Power Plant no.	d ₁	e ₁	f ₁
1	0.2651	-61.02	5080.148
2	0.1401	-29.952	3824.77
3	0.1059	-9.5528	1342.851
4	0.1064	-12.736	1819.625
5	0.1059	-9.5528	1342.851
6	0.4031	-121.98	11381.07
7	0.1064	-12.736	1819.625

NO_x Emissions Coefficients

Power Plant	d ₂	e ₂	f ₂	
1	0.006323	-0.38128	80.90	
2	0.006480	-0.79027	28.82	
3	0.003174	-1.36061	324.20	
4	0.006732	-2.39928	610.30	
5	0.003174	-1.36061	324.20	
6	0.006181	-0.39077	50.81	
7	0.006732	-2.39928	610.30	

The Losses matrix **B**

	ſ 2.0	1.0	0.15	0.005	0.001	-0.03	ן 0.02
	1.0	3.0	-0.02	0.01	0.012	0.01	-0.1
	0.15	-0.02	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.001	0.04
$B = 10^{-5} *$	0.005	0.01	-0.1	15.0	0.06	5.0	0.15
	0.001	0.012	0.1	0.06	0.4	2.0	0.01
	-0.03	0.01	0.001	5.0	2.0	0.5	0.4
	L 0.02	-0.1	0.04	0.15	0.01	0.4	0.1

>> ANNUAL COST AND EMISSION RESULTS

	Economic Dispatch				Environmental Economic Dispatch				% Difference in Annual Estimates
	Typical Day		Total Annual Estimate in millions	Typical Day			Total Annual Estimate in millions	[(A-B)/A] *100	
	Winter	Summer	During Hajj	(A)	Winter	Summer	During Hajj	(B)	
uel Cost (SR/h)	152686.6	339077.3	337047.1	2392.8	153137.3	400678	398166	2748.9	14.88
CO ₂ Emission (kg/h)	2088059.8	11036398.0	10972840.9	69583.2	202044	5206331	5299453.9	35807.3	48.54
NO _x Emission (kg/h)	68151.3	308328.9	306746.9	1972.2	64426.0	165229.2	167374.61	1137.2	42.34

INVESTIGATION RESULTS

Fuel Cost (SR)



>> SIMULATION RESULTS





Millions

NO_x Emission (kg)

CONCLUSION

- This paper has discussed a case study of the application of environmental economic dispatch on the Saudi Western Operating Grid having seven thermal power plants.
- The environments constraints on CO₂ and NO_x have been considered
- It can be seen that EED leads significant reduction in the environmental pollution without any major increase in the cost

Thank You